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Abstract

John F. MacDonald was a close friend and mentor whose life ended far too soon on April 22nd, 2014. To those who knew him, John was an endearing blend of fiery Scotsman, compassionate socialist, dedicated family man and tireless investigator. Those close to him valued his loyalty and friendship, relished his biting wit and puzzled at his self-deprecating manner. His career spanned a remarkable period of discovery from the early identification of excitatory amino acid, to the molecular cloning and characterization of glutamate receptors and the elucidation of mechanisms responsible for regulating their function. A true pioneer in each of these areas, John’s research has had a lasting impact on our understanding of excitatory synaptic transmission and its plasticity. Our intent in commemorating John’s work is to focus on some notable discoveries that highlight the impact and innovative aspects of his work. In doing so, we also wish to highlight just how greatly our understanding of the glutamate transmitter systems has advanced since the late 70s, when John first launched his independent neuroscience career.
Despite his notable scientific achievements and numerous recognitions (e.g. Fellow of the Royal Society of Canada), John (Fig. 1) was a man of remarkable humility, preferring to have the spotlight shone on his trainees and close collaborators. A true gentleman, he was immensely generous of his time and advice and this along with his scientific excellence made John an exceptional mentor. Despite the intense pressures that are common within a laboratory environment, John fostered an environment that was scientifically thrilling, richly collaborative, personally rewarding and welcoming to all. Notably, John was a pioneer in the field of translational neuroscience in Canada. He welcomed clinicians who lacked a strong scientific background into his lab then worked collaboratively with them to address issues of clinical relevance. His studies aimed at preventing and treating cerebral ischemia are the best examples. John maintained close collaborations and lifelong friendships with many of his past trainees. Many more would continue to seek out his advice or simply drop by to “catch up”, long after having left the lab.

A scientist, mentor and administrator of great national and international stature, John’s impact was especially noteworthy within the neuroscience community he called home for a great many years, namely that of the University of Toronto. As chair of the Department of Physiology at the University of Toronto, he was able to expand the Faculty rank by developing a model of hiring that was relatively new at the time. New recruits were often cross-appointed to two departments allowing costs to be divided. He imparted in the Department the same type of atmosphere he had in his lab, certainly contributing to its national and international success. As noted in the text to follow, these MacDonald recruits to the Department were exceptionally successful, garnering numerous career awards and other academic distinctions.
In the course of his scientific lifetime, John published more than 200 articles which have collectively been cited over 11,000 times (based on records at Scopus). To provide even a cursory overview of this scientific output would far exceed the confines of this review. Consequently, here we will focus on three areas in which John made notable contributions; the identification of the voltage-dependent behavior of \( N \)-methyl-D-aspartate receptors (NMDARs), pioneering work elucidating the role of post-translational modifications in regulating NMDAR function, and ground breaking studies characterizing the aberrant activation of \( Ca^{2+} \) permeable non-selective channels in models of stroke and neurodegeneration.

**Initial characterization of the voltage-dependent behavior of NMDA receptors**

In considering these early MacDonald publications retrospectively, the reader is reminded that this work originated before the molecular identification of glutamate ionotropic receptors (iGluRs) via cloning between the years of 1989 to 1992\(^1\). In fact, in the late 70s/early 80s some doubt remained concerning the role of glutamate as the main excitatory transmitter in the CNS. Though proof of glutamate’s role as an excitatory amino acid (EAA) was lacking, substantive progress was being made in establishing the existence of multiple receptors for EAAs. The identification of EAA receptor subtypes was made possible through the identification of the agonists NMDA\(^2,3\), kainate\(^4\) and quisqualate\(^5\). Quisqualate was later superseded by AMPA (\( \alpha \)-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid) as an iGluR subtype defining agonist\(^6\). Particularly convincing at this time was the distinction between NMDA vs non-NMDA receptors (QA/AMPA and KA). This was first based on the observation that responses to NMDA, but not to quisqualate or kainate, could be blocked by elevated extracellular concentrations of \( Mg^{2+} \)\(^7,8\). Although the differential sensitivity of EAARs to \( Mg^{2+} \) was recognized, the mechanisms underlying the selective inhibition of NMDA responses by \( Mg^{2+} \) was not. Suggested mechanisms
included that Mg$^{2+}$: 1) antagonizes NMDA responses at the level of the receptor, ionophore or other site or 2) exerts a stabilizing effect on the neuronal membrane or 3) associates with selected agonists and reduces their availability for receptor binding$^{7,9,10}$.

Whilst progress had been made in characterizing the pharmacological properties of EAARs, progress in characterizing the conductance associated with NMDA and non-NMDA receptors lagged behind. One of the contentious issues of the day was to define the ionic species that permeate each channel when opened. More puzzling, the evidence that the application of selected EAAs, including DL-homocysteic acid and NMDA, is associated with a membrane conductance decrease rather than increase$^{11}$, anticipated on the basis of agonist-induced opening of a receptor associated ionophore. The majority of the work undertaken at this time, including the work by Engberg et al (1978), was performed using microiontophoresis to administer EAAs during \textit{in vivo} recordings. These approaches made progress in advancing our understanding of conductance mechanisms associated with EAARs difficult for a number of reasons: 1) stable long-term recordings were more difficult to maintain due to cardiac and respiratory movements, 2) use of anesthetics could have a confounding influence on the responses generated by EAAs, 3) uptake mechanisms, which limit the response to applied EAAs, are more prominent in intact preparations maintained at body temperature, 4) the ionic composition of the extracellular milieu could not be precisely determined and 5) the concentration of EAAs applied through microiontophoresis could not be estimated. These and other limitations were increasingly appreciated and noted in high profile reviews of the era$^{10,12}$.

It is within this state of knowledge of EAA receptors and the technical approaches used for their study that John launched his own lab in 1979 with a focus on identifying and characterizing the pharmacological and biophysical properties of EAARs. He initiated his independent career
investigating current and voltage responses generated in neurons following the application of excitatory and/or inhibitory amino acids, their synthetically derived or naturally occurring analogues. John’s prescient early adoption of primary neuronal cultures paired with rapid drug delivery by pressure application, allowed his group to make headway in understanding conductance mechanisms associated with EAARs.

In his first publications as an independent investigator, the MacDonald laboratory confirmed the initial report of a paradoxical conductance decrease in response to selected EAAs, now recognized as having in common the ability to strongly activate NMDA receptors (Fig. 2A). Conversely, a strict conductance increase was observed in response to application of the non-NMDA receptor agonists, kainate and quisqualate. These findings were extended by demonstrating that the conductance decrease observed in response to NMDAR agonists was converted to an increase upon membrane depolarization. The conductance decrease observed, but not the increase, was selectively susceptible to elevated Mg\(^{2+}\) concentrations and co-application of DL-\(\alpha\)-amino adipate, one of the first relatively specific NMDAR antagonists. Importantly, conductance increases observed in responses to kainate were spared from the actions of both Mg\(^{2+}\) and DL-\(\alpha\)-amino adipate. Though their significance was not yet fully appreciated at the time, these observations provided the first clue to the unique voltage-dependent behavior of NMDARs. They also strengthened accumulating evidence supporting the existence of multiple receptors for EAAs, in particular that the receptor for kainate represents a distinct receptor class with distinct conductance properties.

All of the findings described so far were derived from experiments using current-clamp recordings whereby membrane depolarizations were recorded in response to the application of selected EAAs. By applying Ohm’s law, changes in membrane conductance could be estimated
by monitoring the change in voltage deflections evoked by repeated current injections superimposed upon the depolarizing response generated by applied EAAs. Several theories were advanced to explain the decrease in membrane conductance observed with applied NMDAR agonists, perhaps chief among these was the suggestion that this was due to the closing of voltage-dependent $K^+$ channels in response to membrane depolarization\textsuperscript{15}. Implicit with this stated mechanism is an acknowledgement of the inherent limitations of current-clamp recordings; that neuronal membrane conductance is highly non-linear and varies with membrane potential due to changes in underlying voltage-dependent conductances. Recognizing this fact, MacDonald and Wojtowicz (1982) acknowledged that “\textit{A misinterpretation of the sign of $\Delta G$ (i.e whether conductance increased or decreased) arises when voltage deflections pass through the range of membrane potential where a region of negative slope conductance is present, or in other words, that range where a voltage-dependent current is activated.}”; and moreover, that “\textit{a highly voltage-dependent increase of $G_{Na}$ or $G_{Ca}$ (i.e. $Na^+$ or $Ca^{2+}$ conductance, respectively) could provide an explanation for an apparent decrease in $G_m$ (i.e. membrane conductance) equally as well as a decrease in $G_K$ (i.e. $K^+$ conductance).}”. In keeping with this, they acknowledged that more definitive evidence concerning the nature of the conductance changes elicited by NMDAR agonists would require conductance measurements using voltage-clamp techniques and then proceeded to undertake such measurements\textsuperscript{16}. Taking advantage of the ease of access and stability afforded by the use of primary cultured neurons, they performed voltage-clamp recordings and evaluated the I-V characteristics of the conductance evoked by L-aspartate. They observed that L-aspartate, now recognized as a specific NMDAR agonist, induces a region of negative slope conductance over a range of potentials from -50 to -26 mV and thus demonstrated conclusively the voltage-dependent behavior of NMDARs (Fig. 2B). Influenced by
these reports of MacDonald and colleagues, the mechanistic basis of voltage-dependent NMDAR channel behavior was established shortly thereafter when it was shown that NMDARs are subject to voltage-dependent block by Mg$^{2+}$\(^{17,18}\).

These advances in understanding the mechanisms underlying the voltage-dependent properties of NMDARs coincided with parallel progress in understanding their key contribution to the induction of synaptic plasticity (see \(^{19}\) for review). Making use of the recently introduced specific NMDAR antagonist, (D,L)-2-amino-5-phosphonopentanoate (AP5), Collingridge et al. (1983) demonstrated that NMDARs are responsible for the induction of long-term potentiation (LTP) at the Schaffer collateral-commissural pathway\(^{20}\). Intriguingly, they also noted that AP5 had no effect on baseline excitatory synaptic transmission or pre-established LTP. In contrast, $\gamma$-D-glutamylglycine (DGG), a weak antagonist of AMPA and kainate receptors, depressed synaptic transmission. This suggested that AMPA/kainate receptor subtypes mediate fast excitatory synaptic transmission, whereas NMDARs serve as a trigger for the induction of synaptic plasticity. But the mechanisms permitting the differential contribution of each receptor subtype to baseline transmission vs induction of plasticity were not recognized. The discovery of the voltage-dependent block of NMDARs by Mg$^{2+}$ allowed the now established role of the NMDAR as a coincident detector and gate for the induction of synaptic plasticity to be proposed on theoretical grounds\(^{21}\), supporting Hebb’s postulate for how memories are formed.

Additional noteworthy discoveries from the MacDonald lab during this era include results from a series of detailed and elegant studies characterizing the mechanisms of block of NMDARs by the dissociative anesthetics ketamine and phencyclidine\(^{22-25}\), in which the voltage-dependent block of NMDARs by ketamine and phencyclidine was first described. This was a first example of John’s interest in addressing a clinical problem and of forging strong ties with a
physician trainee, namely Beverley Orser, a well-recognized clinician-Scientist (Departments of Physiology and Anesthesia, University of Toronto).

**Post-translational regulation of iGluRs via serine/threonine and tyrosine phosphorylation**

The characterization of the voltage-dependent properties of NMDARs and identification of its dependence on Mg$^{2+}$, coupled with knowledge regarding the contribution of NMDARs to the induction of synaptic plasticity, led to an explosion of interest in understanding the regulation and function of NMDARs. The elucidation of the important role of protein phosphorylation in regulating iGluRs, and in particular NMDARs, and the functional consequence of changes in NMDAR phosphorylation on the induction of synaptic plasticity would come to represent an area to which John made important contributions over the ensuing years. Highlighting the flurry of interest and intensive research activity in this area, many of the MacDonald lab’s groundbreaking findings were reported alongside analogous findings by competing labs, often in the very same journal issue.

**Initial characterization of the requirement for protein phosphorylation in maintaining iGluR function**

Amongst the earliest evidence that protein kinase activity can regulate ion channel function stemmed from studies in which purified catalytic fragments of protein kinase A (PKA), or its specific inhibitor protein kinase inhibitor (PKI), were injected into neurons from the mollusc Aplysia$^{26,27}$. The advent and subsequent rapid adoption of patch-clamp techniques introduced by Neher and Sakmann$^{28}$ would greatly facilitate the elucidation of the role of protein phosphorylation in regulating ion channel function in mammalian neurons. The whole-cell patch-clamp configuration, which allows for the exchange of soluble factors between the intracellular milieu and pipette solution, would prove especially beneficial in this respect. Using large tipped
electrodes (tip diameter > 1µm, 3-4 MΩ resistance) routinely employed for whole-cell recordings, the MacDonald lab would provide the first evidence that NMDARs can be regulated by phosphorylation. In their studies, they noted that NMDARs currents would progressively rundown over time unless a means of preserving intracellular ATP levels was included in their patch pipette solutions\textsuperscript{29,30}. Especially effective was an ATP regenerating solution\textsuperscript{31} containing ATP, phosphocreatine and creatine phosphokinase (Fig. 3). In contrast, inclusion of a non-hydrolysable analogue of ATP (β,γ-methyleneATP) was ineffective, implying that hydrolysis of ATP, necessary for phosphorylation reactions, rather than its mere presence was required. The findings led Mody, Salter and MacDonald\textsuperscript{30} to state: “On the basis that high-energy phosphates promote phosphorylation we suggest that protein phosphorylation regulates ion flow through the NMDA channel.” And: “Intracellular phosphorylation could be a means of functional control of NMDA receptor channels, as important as the effects of extracellular regulatory factors like Mg\textsuperscript{2+}, Zn\textsuperscript{2+} and glycine\textsuperscript{34,35}.”

The MacDonald lab would soon provide more definitive functional evidence supporting that iGluRs, in this case AMPA/kainate receptors, can be regulated by phosphorylation\textsuperscript{36,37}. These experiments were spearheaded by Lu-Yang Wang (then a PhD candidate and now at the SickKids Research Institute, University of Toronto) who would remain a lifelong friend of the MacDonald’s. They first demonstrated that AMPA/kainate receptors rundown during intracellular dialysis unless an ATP-regenerating system was included in patch solutions, supporting that the maintenance of intracellular phosphorylation determines the magnitude of AMPA/kainate receptor-mediated currents. In an experimental tour-de-force, the authors then exploited the ability to alter the contents of the pipette solution through internal perfusion during a whole-cell recording. They demonstrated that intracellular perfusion with a solution containing
cAMP and the catalytic subunit of PKA could reverse the rundown of AMPA/kainate receptor currents observed during an initial recording period in which the same neuron was perfused with a control recording solution. The reported ability of PKA to functionally regulate AMPA/kainate receptors was published in notable company as comparable findings were reported by Paul Greengard and his associates\textsuperscript{38} in the same issue of *Science*. The significance of their findings were immediately appreciated by Wang, Salter and MacDonald\textsuperscript{36} stating: “...persistent protein kinase activity is associated with the early postsynaptic events leading to induction of long-term potentiation in the hippocampus\textsuperscript{39,40}. Regulation of AMPA-kainate receptors by such activity may thus contribute to some aspects of postsynaptic plasticity.”

At this time, it was recognized that the rise in current amplitude observed in response to increased protein kinase activity could proceed via phosphorylation of an AMPA/kainate receptor subunit or of a regulatory protein associated with the channel. This was addressed by Wang and colleagues (1993) in the very first study to exploit knowledge of the primary sequence of a recently cloned iGluR (GluR6)\textsuperscript{41} and site-directed mutagenesis to abrogate consensus phosphorylation sites for PKA. The ability of PKA to augment kainate responses was abolished by alanine substitution at presumed serine phosphorylation sites of the GluR6 subunit when expressed in HEK293 cells. Corroborating findings were published the very same week by the Huganir lab\textsuperscript{42}.

Particularly compelling evidence that NMDARs are regulated by phosphorylation/dephosphorylation would come from the groups of MacDonald\textsuperscript{43}, Salter\textsuperscript{44} and Mody\textsuperscript{45} who independently reported in the same issue of *Nature* the important role of serine/threonine and tyrosine phosphatases in regulating the function of NMDARs. The coinciding publications were notable for the fact that both Mody and Salter had trained with John
as postdoctoral fellows. By now a recurring theme with former trainees, Mike Salter and John would continue to collaborate and maintain a close friendship to the very end. In the studies reported in Nature, the MacDonald group used the phosphatase inhibitors okadaic acid and calyculin A, at concentrations selective for the serine/threonine phosphatases PP1 and PP2A, and demonstrated a resulting enhancement of NMDAR channel activity. They exposed inside-out membrane patches from hippocampal neurons to purified PP1 or PP2A and recorded the effect upon NMDAR single-channel activity. Exposure to either PP1 or PP2A reduced NMDAR open probability, in each case this effect could be reversed by subsequent application of okadaic acid. In concert with evidence from Lieberman and Mody showing that NMDAR are also subject to regulation by the Ca$^{2+}$-dependent serine/threonine phosphatase PP2B (or calcineurin), these findings demonstrated the ability of phosphatases to constrain the activity of NMDARs. These results provided definitive evidence that endogenous serine/threonine protein kinases are constitutively active in maintaining the function of NMDARs. The work was important in providing a functional correlate to emerging biochemical evidence demonstrating that NMDARs are indeed subject to serine/threonine phosphorylation\textsuperscript{46}. In a similar vein, Wang and Salter (1994) used comparable methodologies to provide the first evidence that NMDARs are regulated by protein tyrosine kinases and phosphatases. Collectively, these studies nicely complemented other evidence that NMDARs could be regulated by protein kinases\textsuperscript{47–50}. This effectively established the now well recognized concept that the level of NMDAR activity is governed by the balance of kinase and phosphatase activity.

As is the hallmark of ground breaking discoveries, the findings from the MacDonald lab, proving that ionotropic glutamate receptors are regulated via protein phosphorylation, helped establish an entirely new area of study focused on elucidating how cell signalling events are
orchestrated to regulate glutamate receptors, synaptic transmission and plasticity. John and colleagues would go on to make major contribution in each of these areas most notably in studies examining the regulation of NMDARs and consequent induction of synaptic plasticity by G-protein coupled receptors for glutamate (mGluR5\textsuperscript{51} and mGluR2/3\textsuperscript{52}), acetylcholine (mAChR\textsuperscript{53,54}), pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating polypeptide (PAC1R\textsuperscript{55,56}, vasoactive intestinal peptide (VPACR\textsuperscript{57}) and dopamine (D1R\textsuperscript{56} and D2R\textsuperscript{58,59}).

**Aberrant Ca\textsuperscript{2+} permeable non-selective channel activation in models of stroke and neurodegenerative disease**

The introduction of AP5, the first NMDAR selective antagonist\textsuperscript{60}, was followed thereafter by the first evidence implicating NMDARs as a causative agent underlying excitotoxicity\textsuperscript{61}. The effectiveness of NMDAR antagonists in cell culture and animal models of stroke firmly established NMDAR-initiated neuronal injury as the dominant conceptual model not only for stroke-related neuronal cell death, but more broadly for neurodegenerative diseases. These and other findings led to great enthusiasm and optimism that pharmacological antagonism of NMDAR-mediated neurotoxicity could protect against brain ischemia\textsuperscript{62} and on this basis clinical stroke trials of agents targeting the NMDAR were initiated. However, NMDAR antagonists failed to provide neuroprotection. Moreover, in many patients treatment had to be terminated due to side-effects that included psychosis. Accordingly, the field moved from great optimism to great pessimism about the prospects for NMDAR antagonists in treating brain ischemia\textsuperscript{63,64}.

In light of their effectiveness in animal models, the failure of drugs targeting NMDARs was especially perplexing and many proposals have been advanced to explain their failure. These include the fact that the therapeutic window for drugs targeting NMDARs is quite narrow and that block of NMDAR was associated with dose-limiting side-effects, including severe
psychosis. These facts were acknowledged by John and colleagues in a commissioned opinion article in which they lament; “So we are left in a quandary. Blocking NMDA receptors is potentially neuroprotective in stroke but ideally the blocker should be present during the stroke and the patient must put up with being psychotic. An alternative approach is to abandon targeting NMDA receptors entirely, in favor of potential downstream ‘cell death’ targets.” At the time of this opinion article, this approach was already established in the MacDonald lab and would represent an area of focus for years to come. Key strategies used for identifying/targeting downstream cell death targets included interfering with the assembly of protein signalling complexes that tether components responsible for initiating cell death to NMDARs and preventing the activation of additional sources of Ca2+ entry recruited downstream of the NMDAR. Much of this work was undertaken in close collaboration with the lab of Michael Tymianski, another clinician-scientist who trained in the MacDonald lab and would remain a friend and long standing collaborator of John’s.

**Uncoupling of NMDARs from the Ca2+-dependent production of cell damaging oxidative/nitrosative stress**

Despite its recognized importance to neurological function in health and in disease, the weight of evidence to date suggests that NMDARs are not a viable therapeutic target, at least not for agents that indiscriminately reduce channel function. There are exceptions to this rule as a few select drugs are used clinically in a limited capacity (e.g. ketamine, memantine), but certainly not in the context of neuroprotection in stroke. And yet from the quagmire of over 30 years of research seeking to identify neuroprotective agents based on the excitotoxic theory of ischemic stroke, a promising neuroprotective agent targeting the NMDAR emerged through research headed by Michael Tymianski in close collaboration with John and others, including Mike Salter and Yu-Tian Wang. Counter intuitively, the drug in question does not inhibit NMDAR function
at all. Rather it uncouples the NMDAR from a scaffolding complex largely responsible for 
excitotoxicity initiated following aberrant NMDAR-mediated Ca$^{2+}$ entry. Neuroprotection by 
TAT-N2B9c, now renamed NA-1, in rodent stroke models$^{65-67}$ has been extended to non-human 
primates$^{68,69}$ and more recently humans$^{70}$. Of note, a Phase I dose escalation trial in healthy 
volunteers showed that NA-1 administration was well tolerated, without evidence of drug-related 
adverse events. NA-1 is currently in a trial called FRONTIER (Field Randomization of NA-1 in 
Early Responders), which aims to have paramedics administer NA-1 within 3 hrs of symptom 
onset to patients with suspected acute stroke. If successful, the validation of such an approach 
may not only provide protection, but also extend the narrow window (currently only 3-4.5 hrs) 
for treating patients with clot busting agents. NA-1 represents the lead therapeutic compound of 
NoNo Inc, a company headed by Michael Tymianski, for which John was a founding member 
and served on the Scientific Advisory Board.

**Contribution of Ca$^{2+}$ permeable non-selective cation channels to excitotoxicity**

The failure of human stroke trials forced a critical re-evaluation of the excitotoxic model of 
stroke with an eye towards uncovering NMDAR-independent mechanisms that contribute to cell 
death. With this in mind, and in conjunction with Michael Tymianski’s group, the MacDonald 
lab probed for previously unrecognized contributors to anoxic cell death. They began by re-
examining the effects of NMDARs blockers on anoxic cell death in cultured neurons. A key 
initial finding was that an anti-excitotoxic cocktail of blockers targeting glutamate receptors (i.e. 
AMPARs and NMDARs) and voltage-gated Ca$^{2+}$ channels (VGCCs) could prevent cell death 
resulting from brief (1 hr) but not extended episodes of oxygen-glucose deprivation (OGD; 1.5 
hrs or more). Cell death associated with extended OGD remained Ca$^{2+}$ dependent and involved 
the entry of Ca$^{2+}$ from the extracellular space. This suggested that additional routes of Ca$^{2+}$ entry,
distinct from those gated by NMDARs and VGCCs, were recruited with delay during extended OGD. Conventional pathways for Ca$^{2+}$ uptake, including Na$^+$/Ca$^{2+}$ exchanger, were ruled out implying that a previously unrecognized Ca$^{2+}$ permeable conductance was responsible. How then could they identify the conductance involved? Electrophysiological evidence from recordings conducted in cultured neurons exposed to chemical anoxia, combined with knowledge from past studies conducted by the MacDonald lab would provide key pieces to resolving this puzzle.

Electrophysiological recordings demonstrated that extended exposure of cultured neurons to chemical anoxia evoked a current that could be blocked by Zn$^{2+}$ and the trivalent cation, Gd$^{3+}$. IV curves were outwardly rectifying and reversed at a membrane potential near 0 mV, supporting the involvement of a non-selective cation channel. Proof of Ca$^{2+}$ permeability followed from the finding that the reversal potential was predictably altered by varying the extracellular concentration of Ca$^{2+}$. Interestingly, reducing the extracellular concentration of Ca$^{2+}$ dramatically increased the amplitude of the current induced by chemical anoxia and reduced current rectification. This suggested that the monovalent cation permeability is reduced by Ca$^{2+}$ in a voltage-dependent manner. On the basis of comparable sensitivity of OGD-evoked $^{45}$Ca$^{2+}$ uptake to Gd$^{3+}$ during extended OGD, the conductance characterized biophysically during chemical anoxia was termed I$_{OGD}^{65}$.

Many of the attributes of I$_{OGD}$ matched those described by the MacDonald lab in studies exploring a calcium-sensing non-selective cation channel (I$_{csNSC}$)$^{71}$. The identification of I$_{csNSC}$ stemmed serendipitously from experiments undertaken by the MacDonald lab while exploring mechanisms contributing to Ca$^{2+}$-dependent inactivation of NMDARs. The MacDonald lab and others had shown that Ca$^{2+}$ entry via NMDARs recruits a negative feedback mechanism that limits the pathological entry of Ca$^{2+}$. Ca$^{2+}$ dependent inactivation of NMDARs, initiated when
Ca\textsuperscript{2+/2+}/CaM associate with the C-term tail of the GluN1 subunit\textsuperscript{72}, is routinely studied by comparing the NMDA-evoked responses recorded in the presence or absence of extracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+}. Characteristically, when conducting these experiments Ca\textsuperscript{2+} is reduced or entirely eliminated only from the NMDA-containing solution under the assumption that the application of a low Ca\textsuperscript{2+} solution would not by itself initiate a response. Quite surprisingly, no one had tested this explicitly by conducting a simple and necessary control experiment, namely, to apply a low Ca\textsuperscript{2+} containing solution and determine whether a membrane response is elicited. The MacDonald lab noted that graded decreases in the extracellular concentration of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} (by as little as 100 µM) evoked graded increases in the amplitude of a non-selective cation current in hippocampal neurons\textsuperscript{71}. Like IOGD, the current could be inhibited by a variety of divalent cations, including Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, Mg\textsuperscript{2+}, Ba\textsuperscript{2+} and Cd\textsuperscript{2+}, and could be blocked by Gd\textsuperscript{3+} \textsuperscript{73}. This revealed a close correspondence between the properties of IOGD and I\textsubscript{csNSC} and suggested that the same channel was likely responsible for both conductances. However, the identity of the channel responsible was not known and on this basis the MacDonald lab initiated a project to identify the channel underlying I\textsubscript{csNSC} through expression cloning in collaboration with Dr. Hubert Van Tol (a close friend and collaborator, deceased 2006). Notoriously labour intensive, it is fortunate that the identification of the channel underlying I\textsubscript{csNSC} did not have to await completion of expression cloning. Instead, the answer would come from efforts aimed at cloning transient receptor potential (TRP) channels\textsuperscript{74}.

The identification of TRPM7 and characterization of key properties\textsuperscript{75}, matching those reported for IOGD and I\textsubscript{csNSC}, would provide the final piece of the puzzle, allowing the MacDonald and Tymianski labs to move forward and demonstrate that TRPM7 contributes to the conductance underlying IOGD\textsuperscript{65} and I\textsubscript{csNSC}\textsuperscript{76}. As specific pharmacological blockers for TRPM7
were not available, and in the absence of a TRPM7 knockout mouse, the critical evidence allowing this to be conclusively demonstrated was the use of RNA interference by small interfering RNAs (siRNA), which up until that point had proven difficult to implement in cultured neurons\textsuperscript{77}. To suppress TRPM7 expression, primary cultured neurons were transfected with 21-nt siRNA duplex targeting TRPM7 (siRNA\textsubscript{TRPM7-1}). Knockdown of TRPM7 by siRNA\textsubscript{TRPM7-1} suppressed I\textsubscript{OGD}, reduced $^{45}$Ca$^{2+}$ uptake, attenuated overall ROS production and extended the survival of cultured neurons exposed to OGD for up to 3 hrs. In a follow-up article published in 2009, the MacDonald group demonstrated that suppressing the expression of TRPM7, by viral mediated delivery of a specific short hairpin RNA sequence, conferred resistance to neuronal death after brain ischemia and preserved neuronal morphology and function\textsuperscript{78}. Also, it prevented ischemia-induced deficits in LTP and fear-associated and spatial navigational memory tasks. This study established that regional suppression of TRPM7 is feasible and well tolerated, a critically important point if pharmacological inhibition of TRPM7 is to be exploited for therapeutic benefit. Collectively, these studies revealed a critical role for TRPM7 in mediating anoxic neuronal cell death.

Beyond TRPM7, the identification of additional Ca$^{2+}$ permeable non-selective cation channels contributing to anoxic cell death would prove to be a very fertile area for John and his collaborators with important contribution of the MacDonald lab to the discovery of ASIC channels\textsuperscript{79}, pannexin channels\textsuperscript{80} and TRPM2\textsuperscript{81–84}. This includes work completed in the last few days of John’s life and just recently published, in which absence of TRPM2 was shown to decrease signs of neuronal toxicity and improve cognition in aged Alzheimer’s mouse model\textsuperscript{84}. The rationale for these studies, albeit speculative, derived in large part from work published by John and colleagues\textsuperscript{81–83}. These studies linked TRPM2 activation to cellular pathways associated
with Alzheimer’s disease pathology (e.g. increased oxidative stress\textsuperscript{82}, reduced neuronal oxidant defence\textsuperscript{81} and increased GSK3β activity\textsuperscript{83}). In the absence of experimental evidence directly linking TRPM2 to Alzheimer’s disease pathology, a leap of faith was needed to initiate longitudinal behavioral studies in which TRPM2 knockout were crossed with Alzheimer’s mouse model (APP\textsubscript{Swe}/PS1\textDelta E9). John had the conviction to initiate these studies when he approached us to undertake these experiments collaboratively. The positive outcome of these experiments is particularly rewarding to all of us.

**Mentoring and administrative achievements**

In addition to his remarkable scientific achievements, John assumed a number of leadership roles and made important contributions in this capacity as well. John had a significant role in the recent expansion of the Department of Physiology at the University of Toronto. This is one of the largest basic science Departments in North America, with over 120 Faculty. John was appointed initially at the Department of Pharmacology at the University of Toronto. However, he moved to the Department of Physiology in 1990 to become a founding member of the MRC group in Nerve Cells and synapses, with Milton Charlton and several other colleagues. John served initially as graduate Coordinator prior to assuming the Chairmanship of the Department (2001-2008). During his tenure the Department obtained 11 Canada Research Chairs, 3 CIHR new Investigator awards, 1 Heart & Stroke Investigator Awards and the Michael Smith Chair in neurosciences and mental health. John was well known for his strategic partnerships with other Departments and Research Institutes. It is no surprise that John is dearly missed by his Departmental colleagues and friends (see [http://www.physiology.utoronto.ca/history-department](http://www.physiology.utoronto.ca/history-department) for a detailed account of John’s leadership).
In 2008, John moved his laboratory to the University of Western Ontario, where he would work until his retirement in 2013. He assumed the position of Director of the Robarts Research Institute for 3 years, a turbulent time due to the market crash of 2008. However, John continued to deliver outstanding advice and support for new investigators. The three of us are particularly grateful for his support, leadership and insightful collaborations. His presence at Robarts had a huge impact on collaborative grants and manuscripts and in our careers. We particularly miss our afternoon teas together in which ideas would flow freely leading to several publications in collaboration despite the short period of time\textsuperscript{54,84,85}. Science was an important guide for John and even during his short period at Western he managed to publish outstanding work that has had a lasting impact on all around him. We are not just proud of having had time with him as a colleague, friend and a mentor, we and all his collaborators and trainees are also extremely grateful to have had John impact our lives.
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Figure 1. Photograph of John F. MacDonald taken by his wife Lidia Brandes.
Figure 2. **Voltage-dependent behavior of NMDA receptor responses.** A) Current-clamp recording of voltage response of cultured neurons to DL-Kainate (100 µM; top trace) and L-Aspartate (100 µM; bottom trace), applied by pressure microperfusion. Application of kainate and aspartate evoked rapid membrane depolarizations (v, voltage traces). Timing of agonist application shown on pressure trace (p). Vertical deflections represent membrane responses to superimposed negative current injections (each of 50 ms duration). Reduced membrane response to negative current injections during the kainate-evoked response is consistent with an increase in membrane conductance. Increased membrane response to negative current injections during the aspartate-evoked was anomalous in suggesting a decrease in membrane conductance. From MacDonald and Wojtowicz (1980) with permission. B) Current-voltage (IV) relations in the presence (open circle) or absence (closed circle) of L-Aspartate (500 µM), applied by pressure microperfusion. IV relations were generated from voltage-clamped cultured neurons. L-Aspartic acid induces a region of negative slope conductance from -50 to -26 mV. We now know that the region of negative slope corresponds to increased block of NMDA receptors by magnesium as the membrane potential is made more negative. From MacDonald et al (1982) with permission.
Figure 3. **Rundown of NMDAR prevented by ATP regenerating solution.** A) Rundown of currents evoked by L-Aspartate applied to cultured neurons when the intracellular recording solution does not contain high energy phosphates. Note the progressive amplitude decline of L-Aspartate-evoked currents over time. Expanded traces below show representative responses from the times indicated. B) Summary graph from a series of comparable recordings in which current responses were normalized to the initial response recorded at $t = 0$. C) Rundown of currents evoked by L-Aspartate is prevented when the intracellular recording solution contains an ATP regenerating solution containing ATP, phosphocreatine and creatine phosphokinase. D) Summary graph from a series of comparable recordings in which current responses were normalized to the initial response recorded at $t = 0$. From MacDonald et al (1989) with permission.